

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,107	11/14/2003	Roger William Hickey	HICKEY-1	1944
7:	590 07/28/2005		EXAM	INER
Curtis L. Harrington			NGUYEN, KIEN T	
Suite 250 6300 State Univ	versity Drive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Long Beach, C			3714	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/714,107	HICKEY, ROGER WILLIAM	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kien T. Nguyen	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)			
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	·	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	· ·	,, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have beer I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: it does not provide a definition of "free-standing" and fails to have antecedent basis for the claim technology as set forth in the additional language of claim 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, the additional language "to form a free standing relationship between said at least one leg, said deck member, said curved rail and said curved ramp member" is indefinite because it was not clear what is the definition of "free standing" (see the above objection to the specification). Merriam-Webster's Online Dictionary defines "freestanding" as "standing alone or on its own foundation free of support or attachment (a freestanding wall). The leg (37), deck (35), curved rail (31) and curved ramp member (23, 27, 29) of Applicant's invention support each other and are NOT free of support or attachment with each other. This is the interpretation taken in the below art rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/714,107

Art Unit: 3714

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rieber et al U.S. Patent 6,782,577).

Rieber et al disclosed, in Figs. 1 and 3, a ramp system comprising a deck member (16) having at least one leg (e.g., 12 or 14), a curved rail (24) attached to the deck; and a curved ramp member (22) hingeably connected to the curved rail to form a load bearing angular relationship limited in one direction (22b and the ground limits clockwise rotation; also Figs. 4-4A and col. 5, lines 9-15 disclose the back side of 22a will contact the back side of 16 and will limit pivoting motion in the clockwise direction) with respect to the curved rail for supporting both lateral and gravitational force on the curved ramp member to form a free standing relationship between the at least one leg. the deck member, the curved rail and the curved ramp member. With respect to the "free standing" limitation, the curved ramp member and the leg are not connected and thus are in a free standing relationship with each other as best understood (see the above explanation under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph). In the alternative, the at least one leg of deck member reads on leg 18 (col. 2, line 55), which is in a free standing relationship with the deck member, the curved rail and the curved ramp member as best understood.

As for claim 3, Fig. 2 of Rieber et al shows a plurality of sections (74) each of the sections having a first side (vertical) and a second side (horizontal) and concave in

Application/Control Number: 10/714,107

Art Unit: 3714

dimension in the direction of the first side, the top section (74) attached to the other section (74) at attachment points displaced from the first sides (vertical) of the sections.

As for claim 7, the leg (12) of Rieber et al is angled away from the curved ramp member (Fig. 1) for providing support throughout a range of engagement of the curved ramp member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rieber.

It is noted that Rieber et al failed to specifically disclose the dimension of the radius of curvature of the ramp member. However, such dimension appears to be a matter of design choice to accommodate any specific environment and/or user.

Accordingly, it would have been a matter of design to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to manufacture the curved ramp member of Rieber et al with any desired dimension for the reason as set forth above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4428. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jessica Harrison can be reached on (571) 272-4449. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kien T. Ngw/en/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3714

Ktn